

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,892	10/789,892 02/26/2004		Alan Kessler	VINTL.163A	9294
20995	7590	06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE		S OLSON & BEA	STIGELL, THEODORE J		
FOURTEEN		R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, C	IRVINE, CA 92614			3763	
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/789,892	KESSLER, ALAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Theodore J. Stigell	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirg  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ma	arch 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
·=	·—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,14,21,29 and 33 is	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12,14,21,29 and 33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-11,13,15-28,30-32 and 34-48 is/are							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 March 2006 is/are: a		o by the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	·- · · · ·	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	=	•					
·		7.6.6.7.6.16.11.7.7.6.162.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/16/2006.	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Patent and Trademark Office	J,						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

## **Drawings**

The drawings were received on 3/20/2006. These drawings are accepted.

# Specification

The amendments to the Specification are acknowledged and accepted.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The 112 rejections have been withdrawn in light of the amendments to the claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 13, 15-20, 22-28, and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bierman (5,855,591). Bierman discloses a securement device for a percutaneous sheath introducer comprising a pad (16) having an adhesive surface on one side thereof, a peel-off backing sheet (36) adhering to a portion of the pad, a securement base (22,38) secured to the pad, the base being comprised of a first upper support base portion (38) supported at least in part by a second lower plate (22), the support plate being secured to the pad and including at least one biasing member (20), with at least a portion of the member being disposed between the upper support base

Application/Control Number: 10/789,892 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

portion and having a generally fixed base and a deflectable beam section (upper part of 20) that flexes relative to the fixed base and at least one elongated strand (18) being coupled to the biasing member and having a free end, the free end capable of being tied around a portion of the medical article and secured relative to the securement base, wherein the base has one or more spaced holes (40b) extending therethrough and wherein the beam section is embedded in the second lower plate, the strand extending through beam section when inserted into the holes, wherein the base has a concave portion (48) in the middle portion thereof with two side walls on each side of the concave portion and wherein the base is made of a plastic material, the pad is made of a fabric material overlaid by an adhesive material, the strand is made of a flexible material that is non-extendable in its long axis, and the upper support base portion is made of a polymeric material.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,892 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 30-32, 34-37, and 41-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bierman (5,855,591). Bierman discloses a securement device that includes most of the limitations recited in claims 30-32,34-37 and 41-48 (See rejection above). Bierman does not disclose to make the first upper support elastically deformable and the second lower support plate harder than the first upper support plate. However, the Applicant has not disclosed in the Specification that this configuration is for any particular purpose or solves any stated problem. The configuration of having an elastic upper support plate and a harder lower support plate is therefore deemed to be a matter of design choice that fails to distinguish over the prior art of Bierman.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/20/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the Applicant's arguments that Bierman does not disclose a "biasing member", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is the Examiner's position that element (20) can be considered a biasing member because it will certainly keep tension on the strand when the two are engaged.

In response to the Applicant's arguments that Bierman does not disclose an elastic upper support and a harder lower support, the Examiner agrees but maintains that these limitations are merely matters of design choice.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Stigell whose telephone number is 571-272-8759. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,892 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Theodore J. Stigell

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700